

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria Virginia 27313-1450

lexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 ww.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 12/15/2003 10/736,126 Patricia Alice McParland 384.7879USU 5765 **EXAMINER** 7590 12/14/2006 Paul D. Greeley, Esq. KAZIMI, HANI M Ohlandt, Greeley, Ruggiero & Perle, L.L.P. ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 10th Floor One Landmark Square 3691 Stamford, CT 06901-2682 DATE MAILED: 12/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/736,126	MCPARLAND ET AL.	MCPARLAND ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit		
Hani Kazimi	3691		

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief							
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Hani Kazimi	3691				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE F	EPLY FILED <u>04 August 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.				
1. 🔲 1 1 1	. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
b) [Extens	 a)						
set fort may re NOTIO	h in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later duce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) CE OF APPEAL	r than three months after the mailing da).	te of the final rejection, o	even if timely filed,			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
	DMENTS						
	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co			ecause			
	b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	•	i E below),				
	(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
1	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.				
	4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).						
6. 🗌	 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 						
	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) now the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) abstract description:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of			
	Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:						
(Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
	AVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
i	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	at before or on the date of filing a Nind sufficient reasons why the affidax	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and			
;	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to oshowing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).			
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio EST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.			
11. 🛚	The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.		n condition for allowa	nce because:			
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	1 dm 2	<u></u>			
			ι Ψ"				
		•	Hani M. Kazimi Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3691				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: As discussed in the previous office action, Early discloses the step of producing two credit limit values that exist at the same time for the same account holder, (column 2, par. [0020], and fig. 3c), Early states "To track the cardholder's use, a Tier 1 and a Tier 2 limit may be established for the cardholder. Early provides two co-existing credit limit values, each providing a separate credit limit value. In response to Applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of Applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., the credit limit values are independent from one another and are based on separate criteria) are not recited in the rejected claim(s).

HANI M. KAZIMI PRIMARY EXAMINER